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Welcome to the Annual Report 2021 of the Graduate School of Social Sciences!

This report is the first of its kind at the Faculty of Social Sciences. While the Graduate School – or, in everyday speech, the PhD School – has existed for years, we have not before published an annual report that highlights the importance of the PhD research at the faculty. Indeed, the 160 PhD scholars and their research projects constitute a core element of what the Faculty of Social Sciences is all about. With this report, we want to highlight their importance, and perhaps point to some of the challenges and opportunities facing the school and the individual PhD scholars.

Research with an impact
At the faculty, we aim to conduct cutting-edge research with a societal impact. The PhD research plays a crucial part in realising this ambition as the PhD scholars not only write exciting PhD theses, but also publish in scientific journals, teach at bachelor and master levels, and disseminate their research to society at large.

On the following pages, you will find key numbers and statistics about the PhD programmes, lists of all newly enrolled PhD projects and awarded PhD degrees, as well as two case stories highlighting PhD research with a societal impact. As for the latter, we can proudly say that we could easily have published many more stories of fascinating and significant PhD research at the faculty.

A decentralised organisation
The Graduate School is organised into six relatively independent PhD programmes that include Anthropology, Economics, Political Science, Psychology, Social Data Science, and Sociology. It is primarily within each of these programmes that the PhD scholars carry out their day-to-day research activities as part of strong local research environments.

This decentralised organisation enables close interactions between the PhD scholars and the established researchers within specific fields of research. As such, it ensures that the PhD scholars develop in-depth knowledge and expertise at a high international level.

Interdisciplinary relations
As is well known, however, no single discipline can solve the critical problems that we face today. It is, therefore, a central focus area for the Graduate School to facilitate and support interdisciplinary dialogues and collaboration, not least among the PhD scholars themselves.

While many PhD scholars already engage in interdisciplinary work, more can clearly be done to strengthen the encounters between PhD scholars across disciplines – as well as between PhD scholars and other actors outside the University of Copenhagen. This will be an important item on the agenda of the Graduate School in the year to come.

Post-pandemic? Hopefully!
It should not be forgotten that the COVID-19 pandemic has had – and, for some, still have – serious impacts on PhD Scholars and their research. It has been extraordinary and tough times. But we are now, hopefully, in a position where we can begin to look ahead.

In the coming year, we thus look forward to spending more time and energy on not only ‘surviving’, but on continuously developing the Graduate School and PhD programmes in new and improved directions. In close cooperation with the PhD scholars and their supervisors, the key focus areas will be to strengthen our generic PhD course portfolio, develop new career planning activities, improve research communication and impact, and, as mentioned, facilitate encounters between PhD scholars across programmes.

Finally, we wish to use this opportunity to thank everyone involved in managing and developing the PhD programmes at the Faculty of Social Sciences – Heads of PhD programmes, PhD administration, PhD scholar representatives, PhD supervisors, and PhD scholars, as well as other stakeholders. Your significant contributions to the Graduate School of Social Sciences are highly appreciated.

Professor Mikkel Vedby Rasmussen,
Dean of the Faculty of Social Sciences

Associate Professor Kasper Tang Vangkilde,
Head of the Graduate School of Social Sciences
As part of her PhD in economics, Esther Chevrot-Bianco found that the introduction of a gender quota in Denmark led to more family driven hiring of women for company boards. Women with family connections to directors and CEOs became more than double as likely to make it to a boardroom.

Back in 2012, Denmark introduced new legislation pushing larger Danish firms to aim at a 40 percent target for the ‘underrepresented gender’ on their boards of directors. The law created a sudden demand for more female board directors, and the recruitment of women to boards almost doubled after the reform. But did the legislation also improve the diversity of boards and, ultimately, their decision making as intended by legislators?

Not necessarily, according to a study conducted by Esther Chevrot-Bianco as part of her PhD thesis at the Department of Economics. She found that rather than supporting broader diversity based on merit and smoothing the access to the boardroom for all qualified women, the law has paved the way for family-related appointments.

In the study, she finds that the share of female board members with family connections to incumbent directors and CEOs of larger companies increased after the law was introduced. Ultimately, family connected women have become more than double as likely to make it to a boardroom compared to highly qualified women without family ties.

The differences between connected and unconnected women are also reflected in the overall-composition of the boards. Already before the reform, a third of the female board directors had family connections to board directors or CEOs prior to their appointment. In comparison, this was only the case among 10 percent of male board directors. The reform has reinforced this difference.

**Factors behind the trend**

It is still uncertain whether women’s increased dependence on family connections is a short-lived trend or of more permanent nature. The data only covers the years around the legislation came in place, and further data – when available – will have to identify the development since 2017.
However, Chevrot-Bianco argues that recruitment of women through family connections is not a transient phenomenon.

“The big differences between women and men strongly indicate that women generally are much more dependent on family networks. This was the case before the introduction of the quota, and the new quota reinforced the pattern. The differences also point in the direction of many women lacking access to traditional business networks and relying on family networks to compensate.”

Need for broader recruitment

Here and now, Esther Chevrot-Bianco argues that her results are calling for a discussion on how to ensure broader recruitment among women. Recruitment through family ties and other close social networks not only ignores female candidates who are better qualified to gain access to the board level; the overall competence of the boards may also be negatively affected.

“If we compare the qualifications of connected and non-connected female directors, the connected female directors tend to be less qualified in terms of educational credentials and top executive experience,” she says.

As possible countermeasures, she suggests more focus on transparency in appointment processes as one way to go. Regulation could make sure that companies publish vacant board positions including qualification criteria before appointing new directors. Furthermore, the use of databases with qualified candidates and assistance from executive recruitment firms using new search technologies may help firms subject to quotas to find relevant candidates in a cost-efficient way.

“What we shouldn’t do, based on these results, is to conclude that quotas as such are bad. The law has increased the share of women on boards, which is a clear improvement. But in the short run quotas have unintended consequences we must handle.”

ABOUT THE STUDY

The study titled ‘It only takes a strong tie: Board gender quotas and network-based hiring’ was conducted by Esther Chevrot-Bianco in connection to her PhD thesis at the Department of Economics.

The study combines matched firm-management datasets and administrative registers in order to retrieve family ties of directors at sample firms between 2007 and 2017.

- The sample of firms treated in the study includes 1,006 Danish firms with at least 100 employees.
- The sample of potential female candidates for board positions includes 13,828 women.

The study observes 240 appointments at the firms covered by the law, representing 60% of all female appointments in these firms during the sample period.

The study was carried out under the auspices of the research group FAMBUSS (The Impact of Family Assets on Corporate Structures and Outcomes) supported by the Danish National Research Foundation.
PhD students enrolled

By 31 December 2021, the Graduate School of Social Sciences had a total of 160 enrolled PhD students. The number includes PhD students on leave. A total of 49 new PhD students were enrolled in 2021.

- **49** new PhD students were enrolled in 2021
- **160** enrolled PhD students at the Graduate School of Social Sciences
This figure shows the distribution by place of employment in six overall categories: University of Copenhagen, private institution/corporation in Denmark, other public or self-governing institution/organisation in Denmark, other institution/organisation in Denmark, no employment and abroad.
PhD enrolments by funding

This figure shows the distribution of PhD funding in five overall categories: UCPH funding and co-financing, Danish public funding, Danish private funding, International funding, Self-financed and other means.

- **17** PhD enrolments by UCPH funding and co-financing in 2021
- **16** PhD enrolments by Danish public funding in 2021
- **15** PhD enrolments by Danish private funding in 2021

For the years 2017 to 2021, the breakdown is as follows:

- **2021**
  - UCPH funding and co-financing: 17
  - Danish public funding: 16
  - Danish private funding: 15
  - International funding: 3
  - Self-financed and other means: 1

- **2020**
  - UCPH funding and co-financing: 12
  - Danish public funding: 7
  - Danish private funding: 9
  - International funding: 4
  - Self-financed and other means: 1

- **2019**
  - UCPH funding and co-financing: 22
  - Danish public funding: 7
  - Danish private funding: 5
  - International funding: 2
  - Self-financed and other means: 1

- **2018**
  - UCPH funding and co-financing: 16
  - Danish public funding: 9
  - Danish private funding: 19
  - International funding: 2
  - Self-financed and other means: 1

- **2017**
  - UCPH funding and co-financing: 16
  - Danish public funding: 5
  - Danish private funding: 14
  - International funding: 3
  - Self-financed and other means: 3
Most newly enrolled PhD students held a qualifying degree from UCPH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>University of Copenhagen</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PhD students by gender and nationality

The gender distribution of the 49 new PhD students enrolled in 2021 is 43% female and 57% male. The number of international PhD students is more or less constant except in 2018, although the majority of PhD students are Danish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Female Students</th>
<th>Male Students</th>
<th>International PhD Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Gender**: In 2021, 43% of the new PhD students were female and 57% were male.
- **Nationality**: 82% of the PhD students in 2021 were Danish, while 18% were international.
PhD degrees awarded

A total of 37 PhD degrees were awarded in 2021. Due to Covid-19 many defenses were conducted online or as hybrid defenses. The numbers include PhD degrees awarded without prior enrolment (also known as §15,2). The PhD degree is awarded when the PhD thesis is positively reviewed by an assessment committee and afterwards successfully defended at a public defense.

37
PhD degrees awarded in 2021

PhD degrees awarded during the year

36 57 34 38 37
2017 2018 2019 2020 2021

Duration of PhD study

The average length of the PhD study at the Faculty of Social Sciences is 3.3 years in 2021. This length of time is equal to the average of the University of Copenhagen. The average is calculated as the period of time from enrolment date to the date of submission of the thesis. Periods of all kinds of leave are excluded.

3.3 Years
Duration of PhD study in 2021

Average duration of PhD study

3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.4 3.3 3.7
2017 2018 2019 2020 2021

University of Copenhagen
Faculty of Social Sciences
PhD fellow Camilla Bank Friis used video analysis to identify five typical patterns of reactions to being fined on the bus: From the apologetic to the more defensive or aggressive types. With the study, she hopes to prevent conflicts.

Based on 40 videos recorded with body-worn cameras from ticket inspectors’ uniforms, PhD fellow Camilla Bank Friis made an in-depth analysis of passengers’ patterns of reaction and categorised them into five types.

Patterns of reaction are ranked according to how defensively the individual passenger reacts to the fine, and they range far: from the honest passenger who admits to having made a mistake to the wronged or superior passenger who feels unjustly treated, challenges the inspector or ‘knows best’.

The study clearly has a preventive focus, explains PhD Fellow Camilla Bank Friis from the Department of Sociology. She conducted the study as part of her PhD project.

“We quite simply wanted to learn how people respond when presented with a fine – and how their reactions are tied to cases where the passenger becomes aggressive. This gives the study a preventive focus, as it should help ticket inspectors identify specific patterns of reaction and avoid situations that escalate,” says Bank Friis.

Escalation to aggression
Whereas the ‘innocent’ or ‘honest’ passenger will typically try to explain that the missing ticket is a result of a misunderstanding or point out that they are a good citizen who normally buy a ticket, the ‘defiant’ or ‘superior’ passenger will react much more defensively.

This may result in an actual confrontation, where the passenger refuses to show their ID, yells at or, at worst, pushes, kicks or threatens the ticket inspector.

The study does not say how often this happens, though. The 40 analysed incidents were chosen because they span all types of confrontations, from the minor conflicts to hard confrontations, and not on account of how often passengers react in a specific way.

However, in 26 out of the 40 studied episodes, the passenger caused the conflict to escalate with aggression.

**Five types of passengers: How we respond to fines on the bus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Passenger</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The innocent passenger</td>
<td>Shows good intentions and may have a good excuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The honest passenger</td>
<td>Wishes to appear as a ‘good citizen’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The wronged passenger</td>
<td>Feels like a victim, who is unjustly treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The defiant passenger</td>
<td>Does not seem to care and not afraid of authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The superior passenger</td>
<td>Wants to control things and ‘knows best’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABOUT THE STUDY
The study was conducted by PhD Fellow Camilla Bank Friis from the Department of Sociology and Professor and Senior Researcher Marie Rosenkrantz Lindegaard from The Netherlands Institute for Crime and Law Enforcement (NSCR).

The research was funded by the Danish Working Environment Research Fund and the Independent Research Fund Denmark.

The study has been published as an article in the scientific journal Deviant Behavior: ‘Citizen Self-Presentation and Aggression in Ticket Fining Events: A Video Observational Study’.

Moreover, some passengers changed types in the process – from the innocent to the more defiant type. These shifts were seen among aggressive passengers in particular.

We can learn from aggressive confrontations
According to Camilla Bank Friis, this demonstrates the dynamic often at play during ticket inspection or other types of rule enforcement.

Video footage has made it possible to analyse in detail how the situation develops over time.

“Past studies have looked at citizens who respond with disrespect when faced with the police, for example, but our study shows that especially in aggressive confrontations passengers change types; that is, their line of reasoning changes,” Bank Friis explains.

“I was surprised to see that, and we can teach ticket inspectors and other authorities to adjust to that. We therefore recommend introducing conflict training programmes that take into account the different types and their risks. Inspectors should be aware that passengers may draw on very different arguments and be ready to adjust their conflict management behaviour accordingly.”

She also hopes the study will make more people reflect on their own behaviour.

“Of course, many passengers do not intend to act stupidly or to make life difficult for someone who is just trying to do their job. Perhaps the study can make us more aware of our own behaviour – even when we are frustrated about getting a fine.”

Many passengers do not intend to act stupidly or to make life difficult for someone who is just trying to do their job.

Perhaps the study can make us more aware of our own behaviour – even when we are frustrated about getting a fine.

Camilla Bank Friis, PhD Fellow
PhD students enrolled by programme in 2021

This figure shows the distribution of enrolled PhD students by the PhD programmes at the Graduate School of Social Sciences.

PhD students enrolled during the year by PhD Programme

- Department of Anthropology
- Department of Economics
- Department of Political Science
- Department of Psychology
- Department of Sociology
- Copenhagen Center for Social Data Science
PhD degrees awarded by programme

This figure shows the distribution of the 37 PhD degrees awarded by the PhD programmes at the Graduate School of Social Sciences (by the end of the year).
PhD students enrolled in 2021

The list below contains the names of the PhD students enrolled in 2021, the title of the PhD project and the name of the principal supervisor.

**DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY**

**Olivia Norma Jørgensen**
Unpacking sustainability reporting: An Industrial PhD about how sustainability reporting can create sustainable futures for a greener world.
Supervisor: Bjarke Oxlund

**Hjalte Fejerskov Boas**
Tax enforcement in a globalized world.
Supervisor: Niels Johannesen

**Jacob Marott Sundram**
I-HANK: The International Heterogeneous-Agent New Keynesian Model.
Supervisor: Søren Hove Ravn

**Jesper Fries**
An investigation of essential factors in relation to children’s way through the educational system.
Supervisor: Mette Ejrnæs

**Jonas Slathaug Hansen**
Dynamics of retail trade: Implications for policy and economic agents.
Supervisor: Bertel Schjerning

**Kathrine Aaby Lorentzen**
The effect of the postgraduate training program on female physicians’ life-cycle outcomes.
Supervisor: Torben Fieien Nielsen

**Laage Truels Larsen**
Tax Enforcement in a Globalized Economy.
Supervisor: Niels Johannesen

**Louis Alexandre Rene Fréget**
Family Background, Early Investment Policies and Parental Investments.
Supervisor: Miriam Wüst

**Malte Jacob Rattenborg**
Essays on Job Search and Occupational Transitions.
Supervisor: Steffen Bastian Altmann

**Nicolai Waldstrøm**
I-HANK: The International Heterogeneous-Agent New Keynesian Model.
Supervisor: Søren Hove Ravn

**Nikolaj Moll Lund**
A structural approach to estimating unbiased peer effects in an observational setting with network data.
Supervisor: Bertel Schjerning

**Sigurd Nællemann Thorsen**
Bootstrap-based inference of exogenous variables in time-varying volatility models.
Supervisor: Anders Christian Rahbek

**Tobias Gabel Christiansen**
Optimal udvælgelse til kontrol ved brug af machine learning.
Supervisor: Claus Thustrup Kreiner

**DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS**

**Aksel Vester Augsburg**
How do job seekers apply for jobs and can we help them do better?
Supervisor: Nikolaj Arpe Harmon

**Camilla Skovbo Christensen**
Essays on Retirement and Savings Behavior.
Supervisor: Søren Leth-Petersen

**Christian Bartels Kastrup**
Trade comovement puzzle i danske økonomiske modeller: Kan heterogene eksporterende virksomheder udbedre dette?
Supervisor: Søren Hove Ravn

**Christian Philip Hoeck**
Wage Effects of Labor Market Tightness.
Supervisor: Christian Daniel le Maire

**Hjalte Fejerskov Boas**
Tax enforcement in a globalized world.
Supervisor: Niels Johannesen

**Jacob Marott Sundram**
I-HANK: The International Heterogeneous-Agent New Keynesian Model.
Supervisor: Søren Hove Ravn

**Jesper Fries**
An investigation of essential factors in relation to children’s way through the educational system.
Supervisor: Mette Ejrnæs

**Jonas Slathaug Hansen**
Dynamics of retail trade: Implications for policy and economic agents.
Supervisor: Bertel Schjerning

**Kathrine Aaby Lorentzen**
The effect of the postgraduate training program on female physicians’ life-cycle outcomes.
Supervisor: Torben Fieien Nielsen

**Laage Truels Larsen**
Tax Enforcement in a Globalized Economy.
Supervisor: Niels Johannesen

**Louis Alexandre Rene Fréget**
Family Background, Early Investment Policies and Parental Investments.
Supervisor: Miriam Wüst

**Malte Jacob Rattenborg**
Essays on Job Search and Occupational Transitions.
Supervisor: Steffen Bastian Altmann

**Nicolai Waldstrøm**
I-HANK: The International Heterogeneous-Agent New Keynesian Model.
Supervisor: Søren Hove Ravn

**Nikolaj Moll Lund**
A structural approach to estimating unbiased peer effects in an observational setting with network data.
Supervisor: Bertel Schjerning

**Sigurd Nællemann Thorsen**
Bootstrap-based inference of exogenous variables in time-varying volatility models.
Supervisor: Anders Christian Rahbek

**Tobias Gabel Christiansen**
Optimal udvælgelse til kontrol ved brug af machine learning.
Supervisor: Claus Thustrup Kreiner

**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE**

**Christoffer Hentzer Dausgaard**
The Role of Social Groups in Economic Voting.
Supervisor: Frederik Georg Hjorth

**Daniel Andres Cruz Doggenweiler**
What are you paying attention to? Information Selection and Responsiveness of Political Elites.
Supervisor: Anne Rasmussen

**Ingrid Helene Brandt Jensen**
The Democracy of Climate Citizens’ Assemblies.
Supervisor: Lars Tønder

**Johannes Riber**
Small states and sea power. Why Denmark invested in a blue water navy after the Cold War.
Supervisor: Kristian Søby Kristensen

**Kristina Aleksandrovna Pedersen**
Traditional news media, the regime and civic society.
Supervisor: Jacob Gerner Hariri

**Marie Kongsved Møller**
Ecofascism in the Anthropocene: An ethnographic study of far-right environmentalism and the challenge it poses to liberal democracy.
Supervisor: Lars Tønder
**Department of Psychology**

Andreas Elleby Jespersen  
Cognition Assessment in Virtual Reality: Assessment and training of real-life cognitive functions with virtual reality in affective and psychosis spectrum disorders (CAVIR).  
**Supervisor:** Kamilla Wozniak Miskowiak

Anna Celia Faye Jacobsen  
Common Factors, Responsiveness and Outcome of Psychotherapy (CROP).  
**Supervisor:** Stig Bernt Poulsen

Anne Christine Stuart  
Forældretræning til skrøbelige nybagte familier.  
**Supervisor:** Mette Skovgaard Væver

Astrid Krabb-Juelbsø Galsgaard  
Kunstig intelligens i Sundhedsvæsenet. Psykologiske implikationer ved implementering af AI-teknologi blandt fagspecialister i sundhedsvesenet med udgangspunkt i radiologi.  
**Supervisor:** Ann-Louise Holten

Bao-Thi Van Cong  
Antecedents and Consequences of Solidarity-Based Action by Advantaged Group Members.  
**Supervisor:** Thomas Alan Morton

Dawa Dupont  
Are you in control? The Cognitive Control and Arousal Project (CoCoA).  
**Supervisor:** Signe Allerup Vangkilde

---

**Department of Sociology**

Elena Rey Velasco  
Optimising Asynchronous Tele-Coaching for the Spanish Market.  
**Supervisor:** Timothy Charles Skinner

Ida Emilia Backman  
Creating, establishing, and improving compassionate environments for elite athletes.  
**Supervisor:** Ingo Zettler

Katrine Sand Andersen  
Describing visual perceptual functions in adults with CP and development of screening tools for identifying visual perceptual deficits.  
**Supervisor:** Randi Starrfelt

Signe Sofie Nielsen  
SAFIR – Family Talk.Introducing family-based preventive intervention to Danish Adult Psychiatry.  
**Supervisor:** Susanne Harder

Søren Christian Bach  
Personlighedsændringer som følge af stressbelastninger.  
**Supervisor:** Jesper Herup Dammeyer

---

**Copenhagen Center for Social Data Science**

August Lohse  
Drivers and distractions of political issue attention unstructured data.  
**Supervisor:** Morten Axel Pedersen

Jeppe Søndergaard Johansen  
Personalized Education. Investigating Targeted Interventions on School Children.  
**Supervisor:** Sune Lehmann Jørgensen

Johanna Einsiedler  
The Influence of Social Networks on Individual Life Outcomes.  
**Supervisor:** David Dreyer Lassen

Magnus Lindgaard Nielsen  
Modeling Human Behavior and Social Networks with Big Data.  
**Supervisor:** David Dreyer Lassen

Malene Hornstrup Jespersen  
Discourses of Digital Resistance.  
**Supervisor:** Morten Axel Pedersen

Ole Teutloff  
**Supervisor:** Ingo Zettler

Simon Ulrich  
**Supervisor:** Anders Blok
PhD degrees awarded in 2021

The list below contains the names of those who have been awarded a PhD degree in 2021, the title of the PhD thesis and the name of the principal supervisor.

**DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY**

Leor Üstebay  
Radical politics in times of populism: The Peoples’ Democratic Party (HDP), democracy and the politics of resilience in Turkey.  
Supervisor: Heiko Henkel

Signe Lindgård Andersen  
Traveling Fast-Track Surgery An Ethnography of Sino-Danish Perioperative Care Collaborations.  
Supervisor: Ayo Juhani Wahlberg

Sofie Isager Ahl  
Regeneration – Gensidigt helende praksisser i en ny jordbrugsbevægelse.  
Supervisor: Cecilie Rubow

**DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS**

Esteban Garcia Miralles  
Essays on Retirement, Savings, Taxation, and Skill Formation.  
Supervisor: Mette Gørtz

Esther Olga Mailys Chevrot  
Essays in Institutional and Organizational Economics.  
Supervisor: Morten Bennedsen

Kristoffer Balle Hvidberg  
Supervisor: Søren Leth-Petersen

Kristoffer Pade Lind Glavind  
Essays on smartphones’ effects on attention and behavior.  
Supervisor: David Dreyer Lassen

Martin Benedikt Busch  
The Formation and Persistence of Perceptions.  
Supervisor: Marco Piovesan

Mette Rasmussen  
Supervisor: Alexander Christopher Sebald

Mia Renee Herlev Jørgensen  
Supervisor: Niels Johannesen

Nikolaj Nielsen  
Estimation of discrete choice models: Demand inversion, perturbed utility and similarity.  
Supervisor: Mogens Fogserau

Patrick Kofod Mogensen  
Essays in Dynamic Economics.  
Supervisor: Bertel Schjerning

Petra Gram Cavalca  
Essays in Economics of Child Protection.  
Supervisor: Mette Ejrnæs

Simon Thinggaard Hetland  
Dynamic Conditional Eigenvalues.  
Supervisor: Anders Christian Rahbek

Sofie Cairo  
Essays on Economic Consequences of Complex Public Policies and Fertility Desires.  
Supervisor: Steffen Bastian Altmann

**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE**

Anine Marie Birnbacher Hagemann  
Inventing Protection.  
Supervisor: Ole Waever

Dean Cooper Cunningham  
The International Politics of Sex: Visual Activism in Response to Russian State Homophobia.  
Supervisor: Lene Hansen

Heidi Hesselberg Lauritzen  
Bringing individual into public service motivation Understanding how PSM unfolds in the provision of public services.  
Supervisor: Hanne Foss Hansen

Karsten Tingleff Vestergaard  
Contextualising Euroscepticism - A Geographical and Political Compass to Navigate Euroscepticism across Member States and Ideology.  
Supervisor: Peter Petersen Nedergaard

Mads Agerbo Ejling  
Approaching the Edge: Towards a New Materialist Theory of Democracy for the Anthropocene.  
Supervisor: Lars Tønder

**DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY**

Cecilie Koldbæk Lemvigh  
Supervisor: Birgitte Fagerlund

Jeff Zarp Petersen  
Targeting cognitive impairment in patients with mood disorders: methodological considerations and neuronal underpinnings.  
Supervisor: Kamilla Woznica Miskowiak
Katrine Isabella Wendelboe  
Supervisor: Mette Skovgaard Væver

Lau Lilleholt Jensen  
Human Preferences: From Risk to (Dis)Honesty.  
Supervisor: Ingo Zettler

Martin Stolpe Andersen  
Supervisor: Guido Makransky

Regitze Anne Saubrey Pals  
Like walking a tightrope: Meanings and practices of care and support among pre-teens with type 1 diabetes and their families.  
Supervisor: Karen Pernille Hvid

Rita Fjeldsted  
Traumatiserende livshændelser og selvmordsadfærd.  
Supervisor: Ingo Zettler

Katrine Isabella Wendelboe  
Supervisor: Mette Skovgaard Væver

Lau Lilleholt Jensen  
Human Preferences: From Risk to (Dis)Honesty.  
Supervisor: Ingo Zettler

Martin Stolpe Andersen  
Supervisor: Guido Makransky

Regitze Anne Saubrey Pals  
Like walking a tightrope: Meanings and practices of care and support among pre-teens with type 1 diabetes and their families.  
Supervisor: Karen Pernille Hvid

Rita Fjeldsted  
Traumatiserende livshændelser og selvmordsadfærd.  
Supervisor: Ingo Zettler

Sarune Baceviciute  
Designing Virtual Reality for Learning.  
Supervisor: Guido Makransky

Sofie Østergaard Jaspers  
Contextualizing workplace violence prevention - An investigation of prevention approaches and implementation in The Integrated Violence Prevention Study.  
Supervisor: Paul Maurice Conway

Sophie Merrild Juul  
Which length for whom? A systematic review, meta-analysis, and a randomised clinical trial of short-term versus long-term psychotherapy for adult psychiatric disorders.  
Supervisor: Susanne Lunn

Ea Hoppe Blaabæk  
Passing on the Culture. Parents, Cultural Inputs and Stratification in Children’s Reading.  
Supervisor: Mads Meier Jæger

Jesper Fels Birkelund  
Lives on track: Family background, educational tracking, and inequality over the life cycle.  
Supervisor: Kristian Bernt Karlson

Johan Snorre Engberg Ralund  
Comparing collectives with digital traces: structure, integration and culture.  
Supervisor: Anders Blok

Kim Sune Karrasch Jepsen  
Social Integration and Impaired face-to-face interaction in Cochlear Implant usage: A microsociological explanation.  
Supervisor: Inge Kryger Pedersen

Sofie Henze-Pedersen  
After the Violence? Everyday Life and Family Relationships among Children and their Mothers at a Women’s Refuge.  
Supervisor: Margaretha Maria Jarvinen
In these figures we present a comparison of the above mentioned faculties at the University of Copenhagen on key numbers: PhD enrolments and PhD degrees awarded during the year, as well as the number of enrolled PhD students by the end of the year.

### Key PhD statistics for the Faculties of Humanities, Law, Social Sciences and Theology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty of Humanities</th>
<th>Faculty of Law</th>
<th>Faculty of Social Sciences</th>
<th>Faculty of Theology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of enrolled PhD students at the end of the year</strong></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Awarded PhD degrees during the year</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PhD students enrolled during the year</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contact the Graduate School of Social Sciences

Head of the Graduate School of Social Sciences  
Associate Professor Kasper Tang Vangkilde  
kasper.vangkilde@anthro.ku.dk

Department of Anthropology  
Head of PhD Programme  
Professor Tine Gammeltoft  
phdprogramleder@anthro.ku.dk

Department of Economics  
Head of PhD Programme  
Professor Jakob Roland Munch  
jakob.roland.munch@econ.ku.dk

Department of Political Science  
Head of PhD Programme  
Professor Lene Holm Pedersen  
phdcourses@ifs.ku.dk

Department of Psychology  
Head of PhD Programme  
Associate Professor Seamus Power  
seamus.power@psy.ku.dk

Department of Sociology  
Head of PhD Programme  
Professor Bente Halkier  
beh@soc.ku.dk

Copenhagen Center for Social Data Science - SODAS  
Head of PhD Programme  
Professor Ingo Zettler  
ingo.zettler@psy.ku.dk